V

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

CHRISTOPHER PINKARD 466763 PARNALL CORRECTIONAL FACILITY 1780 E. PARNALL ST. JACKSON, MI 49201

Plaintiff,

ν.

Case: 2:22-cv-11845 Judge: Hood, Denise Page MJ: Patti, Anthony P.

Filed: 08-08-2022

PRIS PINKARD VS. SUDHIR (DP)

BHAMUNI SUDHIR, IN HER INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY AS A MEDICAL DOCTOR FOR PARNALL CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

De	fenda n t.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

NOW COMES, Cristopher Pinkard, Plaintiff, and for his complaint states the following:

I. COMPLAINT

1. This is Christopher Pinkard's §1983 civil suit seeking punitive and compensatory damages. Plaintiff avers that while confined and housed at Parnall correctional facility (SMT), defendant Bhamuni Sudhir, the plaintiff's health care provider, became deliberately indifferent to plaintiff's health and safety when in spite of the plaintiffs well documented history of severe wool allergies and asthma, failed to treat and provide Plaintiff with special accommodations, that would limit his exposure to woolen items, such as blankets. Defendant's disregard and negligence is a direct

- violation of the cruel and unusual punishment clause of the eighth amendment of Constitution the United States.
- 2. Defendant Sudhir's actions in both delaying and failing to treat Mr. Pinkard resulted in Plaintiff suffering severe breathing complications, continuous shortness of breath, anxiety attacks, psychological distress and other pecuniary losses not yet ascertained.
- 3. Plaintiff maintains that the deprivations of his constitutional rights were carried out by defendant Sudhir acting under the color of State law, that defendant Sudhir, knowingly caused Plaintiff Pinkard to be deprived of his constitutional rights.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This action arises and is brought pursuant to 42 USC §1983, to remedy the deprivations under color of state law of rights guaranteed by the eight amendment of the United States Constitution. This court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 USC §§1331 (1) and 1343.
- 5. This cause of action arose in the Eastern District of Michigan; therefore, venue is proper under 28 USC §1367.

III. EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES

6. Plaintiff maintains that all available remedies has been exhausted as it relates to all claims raised herein.

IV. PREVIOUS LAWSUITS

7. <u>Pinkard v. Artis, Case No.</u> United States District Court for The Eastern District of Michigan. Pending.

8.	Pinkard v. Dobe, Case No.	_United States District Court for	or The	Eastern	District of
	Michigan. Pending.				

9. <u>Pinkard v. Bray, Case No.</u> United States District Court for The Eastern District of Michigan. Pending.

V. THE PARTIES

- 10. Plaintiff Christopher Pinkard is and was at all relevant times mentioned herein an adult citizen of the United States, a resident of the State of Michigan, and is currently incarcerated at Parnall Correctional Facility Located at: 1780 East Parnall Rd.
 Jackson, Mi. 49201.
- 11. Defendant Bhamuni is sued in her individual capacity as a medical doctor stationed at Parnall Correctional Facility. Defendant is and was at all relevant times mentioned herein an adult citizen of the United States and a resident of the State of Michigan. On information and belief, defendant Sudhir was endowed with the responsibility concerning the overall care of patients assigned to her at Parnall Correctional, i.e., comprehensive and adequate treatment. Defendant is located at: 1780 East Parnall Rd. Jackson, Mi. 49201.

VI. STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 12. Plaintiff is a severe asthmatic, who has had asthma since childhood. Plaintiff also suffers from a wool allergy that triggers his asthma and causes him shortness of breath and an inability to sleep, as well as chest pains and swelling of the eyes.
- 13. On April 19, 2022, Plaintiff was seen by defendant Sudhir. During that visit, plaintiff

produced medical records reflecting his lifelong asthma and wool allergy. Defendant Sudhir disregarded Pinkard's medical documents and refused to issue him a special accommodation to protect plaintiff from having contact with woolen products. (See Michigan department of Corrections –Bureau of Health Care Services Treatment Plan/review Chart).

- 14. From 4/5/22 to 6/1/22, plaintiff was forced to bunk in close proximity to woolen blankets which covered the bunks of the five other cellmates occupying his housing cube, causing him to suffer breathing complications and chest pains and swelling of the eye's and throat, without any relief.
- 15. On 6/1/22, Plaintiff was finally given a cotton blanket after having unnecessarily suffered for almost two full months. "When prison officials are aware of a prisoners obvious and serious need for medical treatment and delay medical treatment of that condition for non-medical reasons, their conduct in causing the delay creates the constitutional infirmity". (See, <u>Blackmore v. Kalamazoo county, 390 F. 3d. 890 (6th Cir. 2004)).</u>
- 16. Since 4/5/22 to 6/1/22, Plaintiff has been forced to bunk with wool and inhale wool, and endure lack of sleep, tightening of the chest, reddening of the eyes, continuous asthma attacks, and non-stop pain, due to the defendant's failure to issue a special accommodation to protect the plaintiff from coming into contact with wool, even amidst such well documentation of said allergies and medical complications. If a prisoner wants to establish deliberate indifference, he can present "evidence showing that the substantial risk . . . was long standing . . . and well documented, or expressly

noted by officials in the past." (See Farmer v. Brennan, 511 US 825 (1994)).

17. "A medical need is objectively serious if it is one that has been diagnosed by a physician as mandating treatment". (See, *Richmond v. Hug 885 F. 3d 928, 938 (6th Cir. 2018))*.

VII. CLAIMS FOR RELEIF

- 18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference Paragraph's 1—17 as if fully stated herein.
- CLAIM 1. Deliberate Indifference/Failure to treat
- 19. By virtue of the foregoing, defendant Sudhir became deliberately indifferent to plaintiff's health and safety when she failed to order and or give proper treatment with documented evidence of said need being presented to her in violation of the cruel and unusual punishment of the eighth amendment of the United States Constitution.

VIII. RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff humbly requests the following relief:

- A. Award plaintiff punitive damages in the amount of \$300.000 against defendant.
- **B.** Award plaintiff compensatory damages in the amount of \$500.000 against defendant Sudhir.
- C. Order any other relief this court deems just and in the interest of justice.

VERIFICATION OF COMPLAINT

I, CHRISTOPHER PINKARD, DECLARE AND STATE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY, THAT EVERYTHING STATED HEREIN THIS COMPLAINT IS TRUE AND CORRECT PURSUANT TO 28 USC §1746.

Executed On: August 2, 2022

Respectfully Submitted,

Christopher Pinkard #466163 Parnall Correctional facility 1780 East Parnall Rd.

Jackson, Mi. 49201

Cases: 22et 19845 Spring Prothect No. 1, PageID.7 Filed 08/08/22 Page Page 10ne of Two Exhibits and the order in which they follow for my complaint, August 2, 2022 Plaintiff Christopher Pinkard #466763 Facts are Highlighted Blue I have Eleven exhibits in number order for the courts as Legal Documents to futhermore prove my complaint, and to futher show that this is a form of retaliation from case, Christopher Pinkard #466763 v. Michelle Bray Civil No. 1:22-cu-410, Hon. Ray Kent, in the Western District of Michigan Southern Division, still pending. I'm going through the same situation here at Parnall Correctional facility that I was at Brooks Correctional facility, that is why the amount I'm requesting is high. Exhibit 1 Healthcare Documents, Exhibit 2 Medical complaint, Exhibit 3 Accommodations not met, Exhibit 4 Corievance Filed and rejected, Exhibit 5 Cyrievance rejection Notice, Exhibit le Cyrievance receipt, Exhibit 7 Step two Grievance, Exhibit 8 Grievance step two receipt, Exhibit 9 MD Sudhir Administrative Note contradicting Exhibit 2 RN Huff's documented notes, Exhibit 10 Step two grievance Response, Exhibit 11 Step three grievance response front and back of page Labeled Exhibit 11. Furthermore with my complaint and these Exhibit's should prove my case and show no grounds for Qualified or Sovereign Immunity base upon how I filed suit and the knowledge MD Sudhir title holds. I declare under penalty of perjury that the above information and exhibits is true and understand that a false statement may result in a dismissal of

Case 2:22-cv-11845-DPH-APP ECF No. 1, PageID.8 Filed 08/08/22 Page 8 97 21 00 0 5 Two

my claims.

Date: August 2, 2022

1st Christopher Pinkard

Case 2:22-cv-11845-DPL-APPLE Not Declarate Page 9 of 21

Year Outcome

Pain; hardware removal (2017)

On medication for

issue not resolved,

November 8,2021

seizures currently1

Last Seizure was

2014 Resolved from Problem List

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS - BUREAU OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES

PATIENT: CHRISTOPHER PINKARD

DATE OF BIRTH:

08/15/1985

DATE:

05/13/2019 11:19 AM

VISIT TYPE:

Treatment Plan/Review

Voluntary/Involuntary Status

Status Date Status

voluntary

Comment Signed Consent: PRF / OPT

03/25/2014 voluntary Medical/Surgical History

Signed Consent: PRF / OPT

Condition Asthma

Cuboid Fx

11/17/2016

Year Management child

2012

2012

Cuneiform Fx Navicular Fx

2012

PTSD, bipolar d/o, 'schizo.' per 10/24/17 intake form Reports intolerance to pork w/ dyspepsia & other Gi issues

Rt. Metatarsal Fx

Seizure / Convulsions

2014

2012 Intramedullary Rod

Tib-Fib Fx **Allergies**

Description

Shellfish

lodine

lodine Codeine

Codeine

lodine

Codeine

lodine

Codeine

Wool

Reaction

Anaphylaxis

Anaphylaxis

Anaphylaxis

Anaphylaxis

Anaphylaxis

Anaphylaxis

Breathing Difficulties

Clinical Assessment

Axis I and Axis II:

Axis I Mood Disorder, Unspec (296.90)

Axis I Polysubstance Dependence (304.80)

Axis II Antisocial Personality Disorder (301.7)

Axis IV: Mild

Problems related to:

legal system/crime

Axis V:

Current GAF: 55 on 04/26/2019.

Treatment Plan

This is the initial plan.

Interpretive Summarv:

Case 2:22-cv-11845-DPH-A LEXHOP Did 1, Hag D.10 Filed 08/08/22 Page 10 of 21

Michigan Department of Corrections Clinical Encounter

Offender Name: Pinkard, Christopher Erik

Date of Birth: 08/13/19\$5

Encounter Date: 04/19/2022 14:58

Sex:

M

Provider: Huff, Corey [CH13] RN

Off#:

0466763

Facility: SMT Unit: 10

Nursing Note encounter performed at Clinic.

SUBJECTIVE:

COMPLAINT 1

Provider: Huff, Corey [CH13] RN

Chief Complaint: Other Problem

"I have a allergy to wool. I need a cotton blanket." Subjective:

Pain Location:

Pain Scale:

Pain Qualities:

History of Trauma:

Onset:

Duration:

Exacerbating Factors:

Relieving Factors:

Comments:

OBJECTIVE:

Exam:

ASSESSMENT:

Other

Inmate presents to clinic with a document stating a wool allergy causing breathing difficulties. Inmate is requesting a wool blanket. MD Sudhir spoke with inmate and gave him a detail to two cotton blankets at this time. Will re assess need for wool blanket at an upcoming appointment.

Inmate currently alert and oriented, calm and cooperative, walks with steady gait, eupnic respirations, denies chest pain, speech clear, good eye contact, denies SI, HI, AVH. Staff will continue to monitor.

PLAN:

Disposition:

Education Provided

Patient Education Topics:

Date Initiated Format

Handout/Topic

Provider

<u>Outcome</u>

04/19/2022

Counseling

Access to Care

Huff, Corey

Verbalizes Understanding

Co-Pay Required:

No

Cosign Required: No

Telephone/Verbal Order: No

Standing Order:

No

Completed by Huff, Corey [CH13] RN on 04/19/2022 15:02

Generated 04/19/2022 15:02 by Huff, Corey [CH13] RN

MDOC - SMT

Page 1 of 1

Case 2:22-cv-11845 Michigan Department Corrections/08/22 Page 11 of 21

O# #- ^			Pinkard, Christop		
Off #: 0	466763	Official	r Name: Pinkard, Christop	oner Erik	
No Wo				Exp. Date:	
_ Lay In		\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\		Exp. Date:	
<u> </u>	estrictions				
A NO Re	esulcuoris	. •			
Y No D					
A NO RE	estrictions				7
Equipme			Start Date	End Date	Return Date
Brace - Al			02/10/2020		Roturn Buso
Extra S			02/10/2020	03/29/2021	
-	Indication.	NICHT MEDI INE			
Tripen	ts: MORNING AND	NIGHT MEDLINE.		Or.	
	EXTRA COTTO	N SHEET (TWO TOT	TAL)		
				04/19/2022	_
				Date	2000-D-4-80
Offender I	Name: Pinka	rd, Christopher Erik	Off #:	63 Lock: 10:	3030:Bot:03
ALL EXP	IRATION DATES AI	RE AT 24:00			
lote:	•		Note Note	•	
\)a (cotton bl	anket a	O. Mas	1 hace	````
		20 12	How	never there	
<i>i</i> etai	1 by eith	er . G	0 01	nd date of	or .
N Ho	aff or		a chas	sheets, b	ut N
	judhir	-N,	extra	Sheets	erit or
0 0	u Civi		no De	tail for w	001
		<u> </u>	allergy	/ cotton	
hristo	opher Pinter		alank	/cotton et as	
			Dialik	1 30	V
	10		State	9 111	•
.1	V.		Exhibi	1 #2 2	
			/m	M	
Q \			X/J/C	Drawd .	
			& Christof	to the	
				#2 0 kmd	
				•	

Page 1 of 1

ECO CRIEV. (1902) 122 (1972): Gase 2:22-cv-11845-DPH-APP ECONOMINATION Filed 08/08/22 Page 12 of 21

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS PRISONER/PAROLEE GRIEVANCE FORM

4835-4247 10/94 CSJ-247A

Date Received at St	ep I 4/2//2/2	2. Grievance l	dentifier:	MF 210 2	12014101	417141 7121113
	er en describins (s. 2011) and 1230 mail	ากลังเป็นการแก้นนั้น ถึงเป็นเป็นเกิดเก็บกับกา		อูลีกระบุยล์สถาละ รถเปล่าจะเกลือน	Sacrating He	
Name (print first, las		Number	Institution	Lock Number	Date of Incide	ent Today's Date
_	_		1		1	24-20-22
Christopher	Pidkard	466763		-		
If none, explain why and forward 19, of 2022 a resolve.	ou make to resolve the y. 2012 I spot in the product denies	ce with RN H ovider which id my medical,	needs.	and I was	sould not called (the issue	te my issue out on April was not
Four copies of each to the Grievance Co called out by h medical asses	ly. Use separate grie page and supporting pordinator in accordance RN H sment sheet with the control of the con	g documents must be ince with the time lin suff on a follow hich clearly 5	submitted v nits of OP 0 up to s tated m	vith this form. The substitute of the substitute	he grievance n pril 19, of a light who w nd allergie light based	nust be submitted 1022 I was las given my is provided
deliberate in for establishe (CK) (3/4) (LL) be to sleep and constitution (Serious illow) (Complication)	difference to d P.D. 04.06. We subjecting me non stop scrall when she ignores and need s, having full k	grievants hed 160 (E) denie e to needless tching and eye red a conditi less suffering nowledge of	d me and breathing. on that my health	d also violated districted in the condition of the condit	red P.B.031 is as well iB. Sudhir uery like th by brea ns presen	as inability violated the cly to cause athing ted in black
Services B Staff and S	by Michigan bated 3/137201 tass corrupt of duty and covern as men	9 Treatment in and immediate the knowledge	Plan/Rev	iew. I seel	k protect	ion from a. Sudhir for ain and 64743
	10	Yes X No	If No. oi	vo explanation I	if resolved ev	plain resolution.)
RESPONSE (Griev	vant Interviewed? ance Office staff have	·		·		
returned to you w you must limit yo on topic. In fact, grieving. Therefo	without processing for our grievance to one (1 it appears that he is di orethe grievance is RI 04-0471-12D3 to SMI	the reason that you ar) issue per grievance. iscussing three separa EJECTED at STEP I j	re in violation The grievant te issues, It is for multiple is	of PD-03.02.130 pegins discussing unclear as to who sues. The grievant	paragraph J, it one issue but t it EXACTLY th demumber has	n part states that hen doesn't stay e issue is he is been changed
Respondent's Signat	بلدر	Date () A N Working Title		ver's Signature ver's Name (Print)	it (Date Working Title
Date Returned to		Step I, Grievant sign h	State of the second			
Grievant: State of the DISTRIBUTION: W	2	ust be described above — Process to Step One	- Grieva	nt's Signature	·	Date

Case 2:22-cv-11845-DPH-APP Rejections Draft Csg 2249 RJ Page 13 of 21

You have submitted a grievance that has been rejected pursuant to PD 03.02.130, "Prisoner/Parolee Grievances". This is an attempt to redirect you to properly file and avoid being placed on Modified Access (MA). PD 03.02.130, "Prisoner/Parolee Grievances" is available in the law library.

REASONS FOR REJECTION (PD 03.02.130, Paragraph J) - Prisoners and parolees are required to file grievances in a responsible manner. A grievance shall be rejected by the Grievance Coordinator (GC) if:

It is vague, illegible, or contains multiple unrelated issues.

- 2. It raises issues that are duplicative of those raised in another grievance filed by the grievant.
- 3. The grievant is on modified access pursuant to Paragraphs II through NN and has filed a grievance in violation of those paragraphs.
- 4. The grievant did not attempt to resolve the issue with the staff member involved prior to filing the grievance unless prevented by circumstances beyond his/her control or if the issue falls within the jurisdiction of Internal Affairs in the Office of Executive Affairs.
- 5. The grievance is filed in an untimely manner. The grievance shall not be rejected if there is a valid reason for the delay; e.g., transfer.
- It contains profanity, threats of physical harm, or language that demeans the character, race, ethnicity, physical appearance, gender, religion, or national origin of any person, unless it is part of the description of the grieved behavior and is essential to it.
- Two or more prisoners and/or parolees have jointly filed a single grievance regarding an issue of mutual impact or submit identical individual grievances regarding a given issue as an organized protest.
- 8. The prisoner is grieving content of the policy or procedure except as it was specifically applied to the grievant. If a prisoner has a concern with the content of a policy or procedure, s/he may direct comments to the Warden's Forum as provided in PD 04.01.150 "Prisoner Housing Unit Representatives/Warden's Forum."
- 9. The prisoner is grieving a decision made in a Class I misconduct hearing or other hearings conducted by Administrative Law Judges (ALI's) employed by the Michigan Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs (LARA), including property disposition and issues directly related to the hearing process (e.g., sufficiency of witness statements; timeliness of misconduct review; timeliness of hearing). Prisoners are provided an appeal process for Class I decisions pursuant to PD 03.03.105 "Prisoner Discipline."
- 10. The prisoner is grieving a decision made by the Parole Board to grant, deny, rescind, amend or revoke parole, or not to proceed with a lifer interview or a public hearing. This includes grieving the tools (scoring weights and ranges) utilized in developing guideline scores. However, a prisoner may challenge the calculation of his/her parole guideline score, including the accuracy of the information used in calculating the score by filing a grievance.
- 11. The prisoner is grieving a decision made in a Class II or Class III misconduct hearing, including property disposition, and issues directly related to the hearing process (e.g., sufficiency of witness statements, timeliness of misconduct review, timeliness of hearing). Class II and Class III decisions may be appealed pursuant to PD 03.03.105 "Prisoner Discipline".
- 12. The prisoner is grieving sues not within the authority of the Department to resolve (e.g., disputes between a prisoner and an MDOC contractor, etc.). The grievant shall be told who to contact in order to attempt to resolve the issue, if known.
- 13. The prisoner is grieving the result of a Risk Assessment Instrument (e.g., COMPAS) or Transition Accountability Plan (TAP). However, a prisoner may challenge the accuracy of the information used in assessments, including in the TAP.
- 14. The prisoner is seeking reimbursement for property loss or damage that must be submitted pursuant to PD 03.02.131 "Prisoner State Administrative Board Property Claims."

Please note that:

- If you continue to file grievances that are rejected, you may be placed on "Modified Access" (MA) pursuant to the policy.
- Modified Access (MA) is not a punitive action, but an attempt to educate you to the process of properly filing.
- While you are on MA you must request a grievance form from your GC who will provide the form if your grievance is not rejectable pursuant to policy.
- While on MA, grievances may be submitted regarding alleged violations of policy or procedure or alleged unsatisfactory
 conditions of confinement which directly affect you, including alleged violations of PD 03.02.130 and its related procedure.
 - o Grievances must contain only one grievable issue per CSJ-247A form and must be summarized on the form.
 - o Include details (when/where/how) of your attempt to resolve with the proper staff.
 - o Include details of what you are grieving (who/what/where/when), and the resolution you seek.
 - Be brief and to the point. Avoid extraneous information and use forms properly.
- Grievances submitted that do not violate PD 03.02.130 will be processed as usual, however, if you further violate PD 03.02.130 you are subject to extensions of your Modified Access status.
- YOUR MODIFIED ACCESS STATUS MAY NOT BE GRIEVED.

Date
4/21/2022 SMT2022447428C PrisonerID Prisoner Name
PINKARD, CHRISTOPHER

30-3-10 SMT Responder: RYDER,T Date Returned

Michigan Department of Corrections FIRST STEP GRIEVANCE RECEIPT

DATE:

4/21/2022

TO:

PINKARD, CHRISTOPH 466763

LOCATION: SMT

30-3-10

FROM:

Grievance Coordinator: RYDER, T

SUBJECT: Receipt for Step I Grievance

Grievance Identifier:

04 / 0474 2022 /

/ 12D3

Issue:

Physician services - Quality of treatment

Received:

4/21/2022

Date Due:

5/12/2022

Your Step I grievance was received as indicated above. You should receive a response no later than the due date listed above. If you have not received a response by this date and have not agreed to an extension, you may submit a written request for an appeal form to this office. You will need to note, on your request, the grievance identifier as listed above.

MICHIGAN DEPAR PINENT OF LOOKE OFF ON SAPP ECF No. 1, Page ID. 15 Filed 08/08/22 Page 15487524248 5/09 PRISONER/PAROLEE GRIEVANCE APPEAL FORM Date Received by Grievance Grievance Identifier: Coordinator C'D GRIEV. COERS. 22 597 13 m2:33 INSTRUCTIONS: THIS FORM IS ONLY TO BE USED TO APPEAL A STEP I GRIEVANCE. The white copy of the Prisoner/Parolee Grievance Form CSJ-247A (or the goldenrod copy if you have not been provided with a Step I response in a timely manner) MUST be attached to the white copy of this form if you appeal it at both Step II and Step III. If you should decide to appeal the Step I grievance response to Step II, your appeal should be directed to: $_$ Grievance Office By 5/25/2022 If you should decide to appeal the response you receive at Step II, you should send your Step III Appeal to the Director's Office, P.O. Box 30003, Lansing, Michigan, 48909. Date of Incident Today's Date Lock Number Institution Name (Print first, last) Number 30-3-10 04-19-2022 05-17-22 PINKARD, CHRISTOPHER STEP II - Reason for Appeal Policy being violated when administration and healthcare MB. Styllir denied me my medical accommodation presented and also provided by the Michigan Department of Corrections Bureau of Health Care Services dated back to May of 2019. RN Huff thied to assist me in getting the help I needed however I was denied with the proofs by both adminstration and MD Sudhir of Healthcare. I I still have not been seen for my physical condition as stated by MO. Sudhir in early April, however I can not be labeled a no show when I was force to isolation by administration for close contact. Therefore my needs remain unresolved and attend to as it states it should in policy. Date Received by STEP II — Response Step II Respondent: 5/19/2022 See Attached Response tog 🏂 i i san sa antikke 🖎 🤫 Date Returned to Grievant: 6/2/2022 <u>Dave Shaver, Warden</u> D. Shaver Respondent's Name (Print) Respondent's Signature STEP III - Reason for Appeal Sudhir with administration at SMT and healthcare being aware of my conditions. As well as paperwork being presented by myself and RN Huff to MD. Sudhir and SMT administration who continue to deny me my medical needs and accommodations. I'm suffering from breathing issues, non stop rubbing of my eyes and saratching, lack of Sleep which results in avaidable needless suffering by SmT administration and MD. Sudhir of Heatth care services.

DISTRIBUTION: White - Process to Step III; Green, Canary, Pink - Process to Step II; Goldenrod - Grievant

NOTE: Only a copy of this appeal and the response will be returned to you.

STEP III — Director's Response is attached as a separate sheet.

Michigan Department of Corrections GRIEVANCE APPEAL RECEIPT STEP II

DATE:

5/18/2022

TO:

PINKARD, CHRISTOPH 466763

LOCATION: SMT

30-3-10

FROM:

Grievance Coordinator: RYDER, T

SUBJECT: Receipt of the Grievance Appeal Form

I acknowledge receipt of your Step II grievance appeal, identifier SMT / 2022 / 04 / 0474 / 28C

which was received in this office on 5/18/2022

Unless you are otherwise notified you should be provided a Step II response within 15 business days of the date your appeal was received or no later than

6/8/2022

If you have not received a response by this date or are notified of an extension, you may submit your step III appeal to the Directors office.

Michigan Department of Corrections Clinical Encounter - Administrative Note

Offender Name: Pinkard, Christopher Erik

Off#: 0466763

Date of Birth: Note Date:

08/13/1985 05/28/2022 13:03 Sex: Provider: Sudhir, Bhamini [BS13]

Facility: Unit:

SMT 10

MP RNR encounter performed at Clinic. Barriers to Communication: None

Administrative Notes:

ADMINISTRATIVE NOTE 1

Provider: Sudhir, Bhamini [BS13] MD

Patient scheduled to f/u per RNR for migraine.

Patient walks in and he doesn't want to be evaluated by this MP, he states that 'you kicked me out of the clinic', he states that he has grievance on me already. Per patient RN Huff brought him to me and I refused to see him as he was a 'no show'.

RN Huff has noted that patient wanted cotton blanket and that I have given 2 cotton blankets, since he had an upcoming appointment I haven't given him any detail, I don't recollect any incidence he is mentioning. Last 'no show has been documented by me and rescheduled today's appointment by me.

I told the patient to sign ROR and he refused.

RCA Donnelly and RN Ross.

RCA Donnelly and I have signed the ROR.

Patient has an appt in August for CCC, added his current appt issue to the CCC scheduled visit.

Co-Pay Required:

No

Cosign Required: No

Telephone/Verbal Order:

No

Standing Order:

Completed by Sudhir, Bhamini [BS13] MD on 05/28/2022 14:09

Step II Grievance Appeal Response SMT 22 04 0474 28C

Name: Pinkard

Number: 466763

Lock: 30-3-10

SMT

Your Step I Grievance, the response, and your reason for appeal have been reviewed.

Conclusion:

The Step I response has been reviewed by the Warden's Office in accordance with PD 03.02.130 "Prisoner/Parolet Grievances", and the response is considered Upheld at Step II.

D. Shaver, Warden

Case 2:22-cv-11845 MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF CORRE	-DPH-APP E	EXID UPPate	Filed 0	8/08/22 Page 19 ₈ 9\$-2 ₁₄₈ 5/09 CSJ-247B
RISONER/PAROLEE GRI	EVANCE APPE	AL FORM		
Date Received by Grievance Coordinator		dentifier: S	M T 2 0 2	2 0 4 0 4 7 4 2 8 C
I and Step III.	olee Grievance Fort manner) MUST be	m CSJ-247A (or attached to the	white entry of this	E WEO Chort abbeau is as postil areb
if you should decide to appeal the S Grievance Office By 5/2	5/2022	•	Office of Leg	gal Affairs
If you should decide to appeal the Office, P.O. Box 30003, Lansing, M	response you recei lichigan, 48909.	ive at Step II, y	ou should send yo	our Step III Appeal to the Director's
Name (Print first, last) PINKARD, CHRISTOPHER	Number 466763	Institution SMT	Lock Number 30-3-10	Date of Incident Today's Date OH-19-2022 05-17-22 and health care MD. Stylling
2019. RN Huff tried to Jenied with the proofs	ro assist me in by both a seen for market motor for contact	in getting t dminstration of Physical be labeled lose contact	on and MD condition a no show	sydhir of Healthcare. I as stated by MD. Sudhir when I was force to ore my needs remain
STEP II — Response	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Date Received by Step II Respondent: 5/19/2022
				3/13/2022
	See Attache	d Response		· .
•	<i>Dave Shaver</i> Respondent's Signa	iture D	6/2/2022 Pate	Date Returned to Grievant: 6/3/2022
TO ME SURFIC AND ST	tions. I'm s thing, lack of thing tration a	suffering for Sleep which at Mib. Sud	continue to rom breathin th results in hir of Heat	ng issues, non stap tubbing avoidable needless Ameare services:
STEP III — Director's Response is attached as a separate sheet.				



STATE OF MICHIGAN

GRETCHEN WHITMER **GOVERNOR**

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION LANSING

HEIDI E. WASHINGTON DIRECTOR

STEP III GRIEVANCE DECISION

135790 Rec #:

28C

Policination and the state of t

Current Facility - SMFL-2

SMT-22-04-0474-28C Grievance Identifier:

6/14/2022 Step III Received:

Your Step III appeal has been reviewed and considered by the Grievance Section of the Office of Legal Affairs in accordance with PD 03.02.130, "Prisoner/Parolee Grievances".

THE REJECTION IS UPHELD.

THIS DECISION CANNOT BE APPEALED WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT. JUL $\, 0.7 \,$ 2022

Richard D. Russell, Manager Grievance Section, Office of Legal Affairs

CC: Warden, Current Facility:

SMIT Warden, Grieved Facility:

Case 2:22-cv 11845-DPH-APP ECF No. 1/ PageID.21 Filed 08/08/22 Page 21 of 21

Christopher Pinkard #466763
Parnall Correctional Facility
1780 E. Parnall Rd.
Jackson, Michigan 49201





United States District Court
Clerk's Office
Federal Building and U.S. Courthouse
600 Church Street, Room 140
Flint, MI 48502

DECEIVED

AUG 10 2022

CLERK'S OFFICE

U.S. DISTRICT COURT

DECEIVED

AUG 0 8 2022

U.S. DISTRICT COURT